
Supreme Court of Kentucky

No. 2022-SC-0329

DANIEL CAMERON in his official capacity
as Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Kentucky,

Appellant

v. Court of Appeals, No. 2022-CA-0906;
Jefferson Circuit Court,
No. 22-CI-3225

EMW WOMEN'S SURGICAL CENTER, P.S.C.,
on behalf of itself, its staff, and its patients, *et al.*

Appellees

**BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE FREDERICK DOUGLASS FOUNDATION
AND NATIONAL HISPANIC CHRISTIAN LEADERSHIP CONFERENCE
IN SUPPORT OF APPELLANT**

A.C. Donahue
DONAHUE LAW GROUP, P.S.C.
P.O. Box 659
Somerset, Kentucky 42502
(606) 677-2741
ACDonahue@DonahueLawGroup.com

Mathew D. Staver*
Anita L. Staver*
Horatio G. Mihet*
Roger K. Gannam*
Daniel J. Schmid*
Hugh C. Phillips*
LIBERTY COUNSEL
P.O. Box 540774
Orlando, Florida 32854
(407) 875-1776
court@LC.org

*Not admitted in Kentucky

Counsel for Amici Curiae

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing was mailed this 4th day of October, 2022, by depositing it in the U.S. Mail, postage pre-paid, to the following:

Matthew F. Kuhn, Solicitor General
Office of the Attorney General
700 Capital Avenue, Suite 118
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Michele Henry
Craig Henry PLC
401 West Main Street, Suite 1900
Louisville, Kentucky 40202

Brigitte Amiri
Chelsea Tejada
Faren Tang
ACLU Foundation
125 Broad Street, 18th Floor
New York, New York 10004

Heather L. Gatnarek
ACLU of Kentucky
325 Main Street, Suite 2210
Louisville, Kentucky 40202

Wesley W. Duke
Office of Secretary
Cabinet for Health and Family Services
275 East Main Street SW-A
Frankfort, Kentucky 40621

Carrie Flaxman
Planned Parenthood Federation
of America
1110 Vermont Avenue, NW, Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20005

Leah Godesky
Kendall Turner
O'Melveny & Myers LLP
1999 Avenue of the Stars
Los Angeles, California 90067

Leanne Diakov
Kentucky Board of Medical Licensure
310 Whittington Parkway, Suite 1B
Louisville, Kentucky 40222

Thomas B. Wine
Jason B. Moore
Office of the Commonwealth's Attorney
30th Judicial Circuit
514 West Liberty Street
Louisville, Kentucky 40202

Clerk, Kentucky Court of Appeals
360 Democrat Drive
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Clerk, Jefferson Circuit Court
600 West Jefferson Street,
Louisville, Kentucky 40202



A.C. Donahue
Counsel for Amici Curiae

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

ARGUMENT	1
<u>Cases</u>	
<i>Obergefell v. Hodges</i> , 576 U.S. 644 (2015)	1
A. Abortion Advocacy Rests on the Eugenics Movement, Which Is Rooted in Social Darwinism and the Elimination of Undesirable Populations	1
<u>Cases</u>	
<i>Behrens v. Pelletier</i> , 516 U.S. 299 (1996)	
<i>Box v. Planned Parenthood of Indiana & Kentucky, Inc.</i> , 139 S. Ct. 1780 (2019).....	1,3
<i>Buck v. Bell</i> , 274 U.S. 200 (1927)	4
<i>Smith v. Wayne</i> , 231 Mich. 409 (1925).....	3
<u>Other Authorities</u>	
Adam Cohen, <i>Imbeciles: The Supreme Court, American Eugenics, and the Sterilization of Carrie Buck 2</i> (2016)	2,3,5
Austin Anderson, <i>The Dark Side of Darwinism</i> , https://sites.williams.edu/engl-209-fall16/uncategorized/the-dark-side-of-darwinism/	2
Corinna Lain, <i>Three Supreme Court “Failures” and a Story of Supreme Court Success</i> , 69 Vanderbilt L. Rev. 1040 (2019)	3
Dorothy Roberts, <i>Killing the Black Body: Race, Reproduction, and the Meaning of Liberty</i> (1997).....	3,4
Jeffrey Sutton, <i>51 Imperfect Solutions: States and the Making of American Constitutional Law</i> (2018)	2
Margaret Sanger, <i>The Eugenic Value of Birth Control Propaganda</i> , Birth Control Rev. (Oct. 1921)	2
Margaret Sanger, <i>The Function of Sterilization</i> , Birth Control Rev. (Oct. 1926)	2,3
Maya Manian, <i>Coerced Sterilization of Mexican-American Women: The Story of Madrigal v. Quilligan</i> , in <i>Reproductive Rights & Justice Stories</i>	4
Paul Lombardo, <i>Disability, Eugenics, and the Culture Wars</i> , 2 St. Louis U. J. Health L. & Pol’y 57	3
Paul Lombardo, <i>Three Generations, No Imbeciles: Eugenics, The Supreme Court, and Buck v. Bell</i> (2008)	5
Peter Quinn, <i>Race Cleansing In America</i> , 54 American Heritage (2003)	5

Victoria Nourse, <i>Buck v. Bell: A Constitutional Tragedy from a Lost World</i> , 39 Pepp. L. Rev. 101 (2011)	5
B. The Eugenic Era Lives on Through the Abortion Movement	5
<u>Cases</u>	
<i>Preterm-Cleveland v. McCloud</i> , 994 F.3d 512 (6th Cir. 2021).....	5
<i>Box v. Planned Parenthood of Indiana & Kentucky, Inc.</i> , 139 S. Ct. 1780 (2019).....	5,7,10
<u>Other Authorities</u>	
David Beito & Linda Royster Beito, <i>Black Maverick: T.R.M. Howard’s Fight for Civil Rights and Economic Power</i> (2009).....	7
Donald Critchlow, <i>Intended Consequences: Birth Control, Abortion, and the Federal Government in Modern America</i> (1999)	7
Emily Bazelon, <i>The Place of Women on the Court</i> , N.Y. Times Magazine (July 7, 2009), <a href="https://www.nytimes.com/2009/07/12/maga-
zine/12ginsburg-t.html">https://www.nytimes.com/2009/07/12/maga- zine/12ginsburg-t.html	6
James Sherley, <i>Preborn Black Lives Matter, Too</i> , Wash. Times (Aug. 2, 2020), <a href="https://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2020/aug/2/pre-
born-black-lives-matter-too/">https://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2020/aug/2/pre- born-black-lives-matter-too/	8
James Studnicki et al., Health Servs. Research & Managerial Epidemiol- ogy, <i>Perceiving and Addressing the Pervasive Racial Disparity in Abortion</i> (2020), <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/arti-
cles/PMC7436774/pdf/10.1177_2333392820949743.pdf">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/arti- cles/PMC7436774/pdf/10.1177_2333392820949743.pdf	7
Katherine Kortzmit et al., Ctrs. for Disease Control & Prevention, Morbidity & Mortality Wkly. Rep., <i>Abortion Surveillance — United States, 2018</i> (Nov. 27, 2020)	8
Mark Crutcher et al., Life Dynamics, Inc., <i>Racial Targeting and Population Control</i> (2011), <a href="https://issues4life.org/pdfs/racial_targeting_popula-
tion_control.pdf">https://issues4life.org/pdfs/racial_targeting_popula- tion_control.pdf	9
Margaret Sanger, <i>An Autobiography</i> (1938).....	6
Margaret Sanger, <i>Apostle of Birth Control Sees Cause Gaining Here</i> , N.Y. Times (Apr. 8, 1923)	6
Margaret Sanger, <i>Birth Control and Racial Betterment</i> , Birth Control Rev. (Feb. 1919)	5
Margaret Sanger, <i>My Way to Peace</i> , Address to the New History Society (Jan. 17, 1932)	10
Margaret Sanger Papers Project, Newsletter #28, <i>Birth Control or Race Con- trol? Sanger and the Negro Project</i> (2001), http://www.nyu.edu/projects/sanger/articles/bc_or_race_control	6,7

Margaret Sanger, <i>Pivot of Civilization</i> (1922)	5
Mary Ziegler, 25 Yale J.L. & Feminism 1 (2013)	6
Susan Enouen, Life Issues Inst., <i>New Research Shows Planned Parenthood Targets Minority Neighborhoods</i> , Life Issues Connector (Oct. 2012), http://www.protectingblacklife.org/pdf/PP-Targets-10-2012.pdf	9
Susan Enouen, <i>Research Shows Planned Parenthood Expands Targeting Minorities as it Spurns Racist Founder</i> , Townhall (Sep. 23, 2020), https://townhall.com/columnists/susanwillkeenouen/2020/09/23/research-shows-planned-parenthood-expands-targeting-minorities-as-it-spurns-racist-founder-n2576680	9
Tessa Longbons, Charlotte Lozier Inst., <i>Abortion Reporting: Mississippi (2018)</i> (May 8, 2020), https://lozierinstitute.org/abortion-reporting-mississippi-2018/	8
U.S. Census Bureau, <i>Annual Estimates of the Resident Population by Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin</i> (2020)	8
CONCLUSION	10

ARGUMENT

Kentucky's decision to protect innocent and defenseless human life in the womb should be upheld. The abortion industry in the United States is rooted in a eugenics movement that seeks to eradicate those who are deemed unwanted or undesirable. Sadly, all too often, that has meant an overt targeting of the Black and Hispanic communities by the abortion industry. The eugenics-based abortion industry denies the fact that each human being has inherent value and dignity that is bestowed on them by their Creator. Instead, it leaves to government decisionmakers the determination of when or if human life has worth and value. *See Obergefell v. Hodges*, 576 U.S. 644, 735 (2015) (Thomas, J., dissenting) (“When the Framers proclaimed in the Declaration of Independence that ‘all men are created equal’ and ‘endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights,’ they referred to a vision of mankind in which all humans are created in the image of God and therefore of inherent worth.”).

A. Abortion Advocacy Rests on the Eugenics Movement, Which Is Rooted in Social Darwinism and the Elimination of Undesirable Populations.

Modern abortion advocacy arose out of the birth control movement, which was “developed alongside the American eugenics movement.” *Box Planned Parenthood of Indiana & Kentucky, Inc.*, 139 S. Ct. 1780, 1783 (2019) (Thomas, J., concurring). Coined in the 1880s by a British scientist who was a cousin of Charles Darwin, “eugenics” is “the science of improving stock through all influences that tend in however remote a degree to give to the more suitable races or strains of blood a better chance of prevailing speedily over the less suitable than they otherwise would have.” *Id.* at 1784 (Thomas, J., concurring) (internal quotation marks omitted). Put simply, the sinister goal of the

eugenics movement was to eliminate “unfit” and “undesirable” people—those with mental and physical disabilities as well as certain races.

Indeed, Charles Darwin himself did not hide his eugenic inclinations, unabashedly revealing in his writings his insidious racist and white supremacist thinking:

It becomes clear that he considers every population that is not white and European to be savage. . . . Darwin explains that the “highest races and the lowest savages” differ in “moral disposition . . . and in intellect.” The idea that white people are more intelligent and moral persists throughout. Darwin’s theory applies survival of the fittest to human races, suggesting that extermination of non-white races is a natural consequence of white Europeans being a superior and more successful race. Not only does Darwin believe in white supremacy, he offers a biological explanation for it, namely that white people are further evolved.

Austin Anderson, *The Dark Side of Darwinism*, <https://sites.williams.edu/engl-209-fall16/uncategorized/the-dark-side-of-darwinism/> (last visited Sept. 16, 2022).

By the 1920s, the eugenics movement was immensely popular among progressives, professionals, academics, and the medical community.¹ Many leading figures of the day, including Theodore Roosevelt and John D. Rockefeller, “were fervent eugenicists, putting their money, their power, their time, and their research behind the effort.”² Margaret Sanger, Planned Parenthood’s founder, was one of the most outspoken members of the American eugenics movement, arguing that eugenics was “the most adequate and thorough avenue to the solution of racial, political and social problems.”³ She accordingly praised sterilization as the “remedy” to the problem of “an

¹ See Adam Cohen, *Imbeciles: The Supreme Court, American Eugenics, and the Sterilization of Carrie Buck* 2 (2016)

² Jeffrey Sutton, *51 Imperfect Solutions: States and the Making of American Constitutional Law* 87 (2018).

³ Margaret Sanger, *The Eugenic Value of Birth Control Propaganda*, *Birth Control Rev.* (Oct. 1921), at 5.

increasing rate of morons.”⁴ In the first two decades of the twentieth century, a dozen states passed eugenic sterilization laws.⁵ And one court upheld eugenic sterilization as a valid exercise of the state’s police power “based on the growing belief that, due to the alarming increase in the number of degenerates, criminals, feebleminded, and insane, our race is facing the greatest peril of all time.” *Smith v. Wayne*, 231 Mich. 409, 425 (1925).

Many eugenicists drew “the distinction between the fit and the unfit ... along racial lines.” *See Box*, 139 S. Ct. at 1785 (Thomas, J., concurring) (citing examples).⁶ The Immigration Act of 1924 “represented a eugenic (and racist and nativist) attempt to protect the integrity of Anglo-American stock.”⁷ And a disproportionate number of the sterilized individuals, particularly in the South, were minorities. For example, in 1955, South Carolina reported that all 23 persons sterilized at the State Hospital over the previous year were Black women.⁸ In the 1930s and 1940s, the North Carolina

⁴ Margaret Sanger, *The Function of Sterilization*, *Birth Control Rev.* (Oct. 1926), at 299.

⁵ *See* Paul Lombardo, *Disability, Eugenics, and the Culture Wars*, 2 *St. Louis U. J. Health L. & Pol’y* 57, 61 n.33 (2008) (listing 12 states that enacted involuntary sterilization statutes).

⁶ *See* Lombardo, *supra* note 5, at 76 (noting that Margaret Sanger was open about “voicing her contempt for the poor, disabled and minorities).

⁷ Corinna Lain, *Three Supreme Court “Failures” and a Story of Supreme Court Success*, 69 *Vanderbilt L. Rev.* 1040 (2019); *see also* Cohen, *supra* note 1, at 132–35 (discussing role of eugenicists in passing the act).

⁸ *See* Dorothy Roberts, *Killing the Black Body: Race, Reproduction, and the Meaning of Liberty* 88–89 (1997).

Eugenics Commission sterilized nearly 8,000 “mentally deficient persons,” some 5,000 of whom were Black.⁹

In *Buck v. Bell*, 274 U.S. 200 (1927), the Supreme Court “threw its prestige behind the eugenics movement.” *Box*, 139 S. Ct. at 1786 (Thomas, J., concurring). In *Buck*, the Court approved the compulsory sterilization of an allegedly “feeble minded” woman who had been falsely adjudged “the probable potential parent of socially inadequate offspring.” *Buck*, 274 U.S. at 205, 207. In a short opinion, Justice Oliver Wendell Holmes, Jr., joined by seven other Justices, “offered a full-throated defense of forced sterilization,” *Box*, 139 S. Ct. at 1786 (Thomas, J., concurring), as a means to “prevent” society from being “swamped with incompetence,” *Buck*, 274 U.S. at 207.

According to this Court:

It is better for all the world, if instead of waiting to execute degenerate offspring for crime, or to let them starve for their imbecility, society can prevent those who are manifestly unfit from continuing their kind. The principle that sustains compulsory vaccination is broad enough to cover cutting the Fallopian tubes. **Three generations of imbeciles are enough.**

Id. (citation omitted) (emphasis added). Within five years after *Buck*, 28 states had adopted compulsory sterilization laws; and between 1907 and 1983, more than 60,000

⁹ *Id.* (footnote omitted); see also Maya Manian, *Coerced Sterilization of Mexican-American Women: The Story of Madrigal v. Quilligan*, in *Reproductive Rights & Justice Stories* 97, 99 (Melissa Murray et al. eds., 2019) (describing the forced sterilization of Mexican-American women in California into the 1970s).

helpless human beings made in the image and likeness of God were involuntarily sterilized.¹⁰

B. The Eugenic Era Lives on Through the Abortion Movement.

“Tragically, ... the [eugenics] practice continues today with modern-day abortions,” *Preterm-Cleveland v. McCloud*, 994 F.3d 512, 540 (6th Cir. 2021) (Griffin, J., concurring). Indeed, “[f]rom the beginning, birth control and abortion were promoted as means of effectuating eugenics.” *Box*, 139 S. Ct. at 1787 (Thomas, J., concurring); *id.* at 1789 (“Support for abortion can ... be found throughout the literature on eugenics.”). For example, Margaret Sanger argued that birth control “is really the greatest and most truly eugenic method” of “human generation,” and “its adoption as part of the program of Eugenics would immediately give a concrete and realistic power to that science.”¹¹ Sanger further argued that “eugenists and others who are laboring for racial betterment” could not “succeed” unless they “first clear[ed] the way for Birth Control.”¹² Many eugenicists supported legalizing abortion, and abortion advocates—including future Planned Parenthood President Alan Guttmacher—endorsed abortion

¹⁰ See Cohen, *supra* note 1, at 299–300, 319; see generally Peter Quinn, *Race Cleansing In America*, 54 *American Heritage* 2–3 (2003). Cf. Paul Lombardo, *Three Generations, No Imbeciles: Eugenics, The Supreme Court, and Buck v. Bell* xiii (2008) (“The *Buck* case represents one of the low points in Supreme Court history—on a par with *Plessy v. Ferguson*, which announced the now-discredited legal doctrine of ‘separate but equal,’ and the *Korematsu* case, which permitted the internment of Japanese citizens during World War I.”); Victoria Nourse, *Buck v. Bell: A Constitutional Tragedy from a Lost World*, 39 *Pepp. L. Rev.* 101, 101 (2011) (“A mere five paragraphs long, *Buck v. Bell* could represent the highest ratio of injustice per word ever signed on to by eight Supreme Court Justices, progressive and conservative alike.”).

¹¹ Margaret Sanger, *Pivot of Civilization* 189 (1922).

¹² Margaret Sanger, *Birth Control and Racial Betterment*, *Birth Control Rev.* (Feb. 1919), at 11.

for eugenic reasons. And as the late Justice Ginsburg once observed: “[A]t the time *Roe* was decided, there was concern about population growth and particularly growth in populations that we don’t want to have too many of. So that *Roe* was going to be then set up for Medicaid funding of abortion.”¹³

The links between abortion and racist eugenics are manifold. For starters, Margaret Sanger focused her eugenic goal to eliminate “the unfit” on minorities. In promoting birth control, Sanger advanced a “Negro Project,”¹⁴ gave a speech to the Ku Klux Klan,¹⁵ and advocated eugenic breeding for “the gradual suppression, elimination and eventual extinction, of defective stocks—those human weeds which threaten the blooming of the finest flowers of American civilization.”¹⁶ She personally set up birth-control clinics in minority communities, including a clinic in Harlem in 1930.¹⁷ In a personal letter in 1939, Sanger explained her plan to stop Black population growth: “The most successful educational approach to the Negro is through a religious

¹³ Emily Bazelon, *The Place of Women on the Court*, N.Y. Times Magazine (July 7, 2009), <https://www.nytimes.com/2009/07/12/magazine/12ginsburg-t.html>.

¹⁴ See Margaret Sanger Papers Project, Newsletter #28, *Birth Control or Race Control? Sanger and the Negro Project* (2001) (hereinafter Sanger Newsletter), http://www.nyu.edu/projects/sanger/articles/bc_or_race_control (last visited Sept. 16, 2022).

¹⁵ See Margaret Sanger, *An Autobiography* 366 (1938).

¹⁶ Margaret Sanger, *Apostle of Birth Control Sees Cause Gaining Here*, N.Y. Times (Apr. 8, 1923), at 11.

¹⁷ See Sanger Newsletter, *supra* note 14; see also Mary Ziegler, 25 Yale J.L. & Feminism 1, 13 (2013) (noting that in its early advocacy for birth control, Planned Parenthood “focused on unwanted children and pathological parenting in poor African American communities”)

appeal. We do not want word to go out that we want to exterminate the Negro population, and the minister is the man who can straighten out that idea if it occurs to any of their more rebellious members.”¹⁸

For decades, minority groups have complained that Planned Parenthood has been targeting their communities. An example: “Birth control is just a plot just as segregation was a plot to keep blacks down. It is a plot rather than a solution. Instead of working for us and giving us our rights—you reduce us in numbers and do not have to give us anything.”¹⁹ African American leaders such as Julius Lester, Dick Gregory, Daniel H. Watts, and H. Rap Brown described abortion as “black genocide” and called on Blacks to eschew these practices to avoid “race suicide.”²⁰

History and data reveal that abortion has devastated communities of color. According to one peer-reviewed study, “Black women have been experiencing abortions at a rate nearly four times that of White women for more than 30 years.”²¹ Dr. James

¹⁸ Sanger Newsletter, *supra* note 14 (citation omitted).

¹⁹ Donald Critchlow, *Intended Consequences: Birth Control, Abortion, and the Federal Government in Modern America* 61 (1999) (quoting a 1966 communication between a Planned Parenthood Federation of American (PPFA) field consultant to Alan Guttmacher); *see also* *Box*, 139 S. Ct. at 1790 (Thomas, J., concurring) (noting that some Black groups considered “‘family planning’ as a euphemism for race genocide and believed that black people [were] taking the brunt of the ‘planning’ under Planned Parenthood’s ‘ghetto approach’ to distributing its services” (citation and internal quotation marks omitted)).

²⁰ Critchlow, *supra* note 19, at 142; *cf.* David Beito & Linda Royster Beito, *Black Maverick: T.R.M. Howard’s Fight for Civil Rights and Economic Power* 215 (2009) (noting that some African American civil rights leaders “fretted about the racist implications of abortion”).

²¹ James Studnicki et al., Health Servs. Research & Managerial Epidemiology, *Perceiving and Addressing the Pervasive Racial Disparity in Abortion* (2020), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7436774/pdf/10.1177_2333392820949743.pdf.

Sherley, one of the study’s authors, commented: “Abortion is the hushed killer of Black life that has silenced millions of George Floyds before they even took their first breath of air. Yet, in this remarkable moment of social reform history, the lives of Black preborn children have been forgotten.”²²

According to the Centers for Disease Control’s most recent data, Black women accounted for 33.6 percent of all reported abortions in 2018, even though they make up 13 percent of women in the United States.²³ Black women also had the highest abortion rate (21.2 abortions per 1,000 women) and ratio (335 abortions per 1,000 live births). Further, abortion-induced deaths of the unborn in the Black community are 69 times higher than HIV deaths, 31 times higher than homicides, 3.6 times higher than cancer-related deaths, and 3.5 times higher than deaths caused by heart disease.²⁴

In Mississippi, 3,005 abortions were reported in 2018. Of those abortions, 72% were performed on Black women, compared to just 24% on White women and 4% on women of other races.²⁵ Indeed, the Charlotte Lozier Institute estimates that the Black abortion rate in Mississippi was 8.5 per 1,000 women of childbearing age—over three-and-a-half times the abortion rate of 2.3 per 1,000 for White women.²⁶

²² James Sherley, *Preborn Black Lives Matter, Too*, Wash. Times (Aug. 2, 2020), <https://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2020/aug/2/preborn-black-lives-matter-too/>.

²³ Katherine Kortsmitt et al., Ctrs. for Disease Control & Prevention, *Morbidity & Mortality Wkly. Rep., Abortion Surveillance — United States, 2018* (Nov. 27, 2020); U.S. Census Bureau, *Annual Estimates of the Resident Population by Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin* (2020).

²⁴ Kortsmitt et al., *supra* note 23, at 8.

²⁵ See Tessa Longbons, Charlotte Lozier Inst., *Abortion Reporting: Mississippi (2018)* (May 8, 2020), <https://lozierinstitute.org/abortion-reporting-mississippi-2018/>.

²⁶ *Id.*

The racial disparity in abortions is largely intentional: A study based on 2010 Census data shows that nearly eight out of ten Planned Parenthood abortion clinics are within walking distance of predominantly Black or Hispanic neighborhoods.²⁷ More specifically, Planned Parenthood intentionally located 86 percent of its abortion facilities in or near minority neighborhoods in the 25 U.S. counties with the most abortions.²⁸ These 25 counties contain 19 percent of the U.S. population, including 28 percent of the Black population and 37 percent of the Hispanic/Latino population. In 12 of these counties, Blacks and Hispanics/Latinos are more than 50 percent of the population. In contrast, Blacks are only 12.6 percent of the U.S. population, and Hispanics and Latinos are 16.3 percent. Planned Parenthood's largest abortion facility in America is situated in the middle of a Black and Hispanic neighborhood within walking distance of a nearby school. Given that Planned Parenthood has strategically located abortion clinics near minority neighborhoods, the abortion industry's attempt to deny its eugenic aims cannot withstand objective scrutiny.²⁹

²⁷ See Susan Enouen, Life Issues Inst., *New Research Shows Planned Parenthood Targets Minority Neighborhoods*, Life Issues Connector (Oct. 2012), <http://www.protectingblacklife.org/pdf/PP-Targets-10-2012.pdf>; see also Mark Crutcher et al., Life Dynamics, Inc., *Racial Targeting and Population Control* 22 (2011), https://issues4life.org/pdfs/racial_targeting_population_control.pdf (reporting that in every state, “population control centers” are in “zip codes with higher percentages of blacks and/or Hispanics than the state’s overall percentage”).

²⁸ See Susan Enouen, *Research Shows Planned Parenthood Expands Targeting Minorities as it Spurns Racist Founder*, Townhall (Sep. 23, 2020), <https://townhall.com/columnists/susanwillkeenouen/2020/09/23/research-shows-planned-parenthood-expands-targeting-minorities-as-it-spurns-racist-founder-n2576680>.

²⁹ See Crutcher et al., *supra* note 27, at 4 (noting that “these patterns are routinely considered indicative of racial targeting when it comes to other issues,” such as when civil rights advocates criticize tobacco and alcohol companies for concentrating their retail and marketing efforts disproportionately in minority neighborhoods).

In short, Margaret Sanger believed, as did her Eugenics Era colleagues, that the “unfit” and “feeble-minded” were a menace to society. And in all contexts, these terms were code words for the poor, Blacks, and other minorities. Sanger clearly believed that these “undesirable” people should not reproduce and thus advocated for their sterilization.³⁰ Planned Parenthood has continued Sanger’s shameful legacy to this day. Prohibiting abortions protects all developing human beings from racially motivated termination while in the womb. As Justice Thomas noted, today’s “[t]echnological advances have only heightened the eugenic potential for abortion, as abortion can now be used to eliminate children with unwanted characteristics, such as a particular sex or disability.” *Box*, 139 S. Ct. at 1784 (Thomas, J., concurring) (citing examples). Thus, abortion may now be used as “a disturbingly effective tool for implementing the discriminatory preferences that undergird eugenics.” *Id.* at 1790. For that reason, Kentucky has a compelling interest in “preventing abortion from becoming a tool of modern-day eugenics.” *Id.* at 1783.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, this court should uphold Kentucky’s pro-life statutes and hold that the Kentucky Constitution contains no right to an abortion.

³⁰ See generally Margaret Sanger, *My Way to Peace*, Address to the New History Society (Jan. 17, 1932).

Respectfully submitted,



A.C. Donahue
DONAHUE LAW GROUP, P.S.C.
P.O. Box 659
Somerset, Kentucky 42502
(606) 677-2741
ACDonahue@DonahueLawGroup.com

Mathew D. Staver*
Anita L. Staver*
Horatio G. Mihet*
Roger K. Gannam*
Daniel J. Schmid*
Hugh C. Phillips*
LIBERTY COUNSEL
P.O. Box 540774
Orlando, FL 32854
(407) 875-1776
court@lc.org

*Not admitted in Kentucky

Counsel for Amici Curiae